

(check \square only one box for each question) \sqrt{TS}

ERAL PROCESSING



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	NSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
Al	IRS ID#: 7775603 DATE: <u>9/14/2011</u> ARRIVE: <u>11:40 AM</u> DEPART	: <u>11:50 AM</u>			
FA	ACILITY NAME: ADRIAN & RUIZ ENTERPRISES				
FA	ACILITY LOCATION: 13089 SW 248 Street				
	MIAMI 33032				
C	WNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ANDRES FONTE Email: ONTACT NAME: Email: NTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/6/2009 / 9/6/2014 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (305)512-47 Mobile: (786)402-94 PHONE: Mobile:				
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
D.	ADT II. ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING				
	Name(s) of facility representative(s): ANDRES FONTE	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
	Drief Notes:				
1.	Brief Notes: Is the Authorized Representative still ANDRES FONTE? If no, who is?:	⊠ Yes □No			
 2. 	Is the Authorized Representative still ANDRES FONTE?	- ☐ Yes ☐No			
D/	ART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING Name(s) of facility representative(s): ANDRES FONTE	(check v only one box for each question)			

Emissions Unit Section 1 –NMMP Plant-crusherw/spraybars, 100T/hr, RIC diesel engine

		(check ☑	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granities Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock of (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlorand Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.)	ng Plants? y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	•
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		N
2	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		∐No □No
	Is the EU one of the following?	Yes	No
su If	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	□No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	No

1 -NMMP Plant-crusherw/spraybars, 100T/hr, RIC diesel engine

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line? ————————————————————————————————————	l ng	□No
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	□No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
su	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? ———————————————————————————————————	☐ Yes	□ No
	one or more affected EUs.} b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No

1 -NMMP Plant-crusherw/spraybars, 100T/hr, RIC diesel engine

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	☐ Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

1 -NMMP Plant-crusherw/spraybars, 100T/hr, RIC diesel engine

individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? (A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.) b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gc/dscf)? Yes	22. If the EU is a building enclosing any	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
initial startup of the EU?	individually in compliance with emi	ssions limits:				
A 'vent' is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.] b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscft)? Yes No					_	_
purpose of exhausing from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.] b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscft)?				/A	Yes Yes	☐ No
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)? Yes						
b. Was the EÜ found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		g air carrying particulai	te matter (PM) emissions from			
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?-					_	_
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?					=	=
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? —	c. Were initial fugitive emissions from	n non-vent building ope	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity?	∐ Yes	∐No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	23 Is a wet scrubber used to control en	nissions from the FII?			□ Ves	\square No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?						
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? —			oss of the gas stream through the	e.		
instructions?						
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? Yes No {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO: i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years? Yes No ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year? Yes No ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year? Yes No a. Was the VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this unit during this site visit? Yes No Rate:					☐ Yes	□No
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? — Yes [No {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years? — Yes [No b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO: i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years? — Yes [No ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar years? — Yes [No a. Was the VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this unit during this site visit? — Yes [No a. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? — Yes [No c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of [
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? — YesNo {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?			nanaractarer to se accurace with	11111 1250		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? -		554201)				
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? -	b. a device for the continuous measur	rement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scrul	bber and the	e	
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?						□No
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	{Note: The monitoring device m	nust be certified by the r	nanufacturer to be accurate with	hin +5%		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years? — YesNo b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO: i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years? — YesNo ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year? — YesNo 25. Was a VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this unit during this site visit? — YesNo Rate:	of design scrubbing liquid flow:	rate.}				
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years? — YesNo b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO: i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years? — YesNo ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year? — YesNo 25. Was a VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this unit during this site visit? — YesNo Rate:						
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO: i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?			U been tested within the past 5	years?	∐ Yes	∐No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?			. 1 9		□ 3 7	□ M.
25. Was a VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this unit during this site visit?						=
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? Yes	ii. has the EO been tested yet wi	unii the current calenda	1 year?		☐ 1 es	NO
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? Yes	25. Was a VE test conducted by the ow	ner/operator for this u	nit during this site visit?		☐ Yes	□No
B. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?					=	=
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?						
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below) YesNo 26. Was a VE test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? YesNo a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? YesNo Rate: b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? YesNo c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below) YesNo VE Opacity Limits EU not subject to 40 CFR 60		ing to EPA Method 9? -			Yes	□No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	of% for the high	est six-minute average.			
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? YesNo Rate: b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? YesNo c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below) YesNo \[\begin{align*} \text{VE Opacity Limits} \\ \text{EU not subject to } \\ \text{Subpart OOO EU} \\ \text{40 CFR 60} \\ \text{Subpart OOO EU} \\ \text{Subpart OOO EU} \\ \text{constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior} \] or reconstructed on or	d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	liance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		☐ Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? YesNo Rate: b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? YesNo c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below) YesNo \[\begin{align*} \text{VE Opacity Limits} \\ \text{EU not subject to } \\ \text{Subpart OOO EU} \\ \text{40 CFR 60} \\ \text{Subpart OOO EU} \\ \text{Subpart OOO EU} \\ \text{constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior} \] or reconstructed on or						
Rate: b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?						=
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	•	cess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		⊥ Yes	∐N0
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below) YesNo \[\begin{align*}	h Was the VE test conducted accord	ing to EDA Mothod 02			□ Vos	□ No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below). ————————————————————————————————————					res	NO
VE Opacity Limits EU not subject to Subpart OOO EU Subpart OOO EU 40 CFR 60 constructed, modified, Subpart OOO or reconstructed prior or reconstructed on or					□ Yes	\square No
EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 constructed, modified, Subpart OOO constructed prior Subpart OOO EU constructed on or	d. Did the VII test demonstrate comp.	nunce with the opacity	mint. (See chart selow).			
EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 constructed, modified, Subpart OOO cor reconstructed prior Subpart OOO EU constructed on or		TIE O				
40 CFR 60 constructed, modified, Subpart OOO constructed prior constructed on or	 			0.1.4	OOO EII	
Subpart OOO or reconstructed prior or reconstructed on or	, [_	_		ا ا
to 4/22/2008 after 4/22/2008		Suppart OOO	_			or
		2004		after 4/2		
Crusher with no capture system 20% 15% 12%						
All other affected EUs 20% 10% 7%	All other affected EUs	20%	10%		1%	

Emissions Unit Section 2 –NMMP Plant-crusher RIC diesel engine power, 180 Hp

<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock of Softy (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlorand Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
2. 3. 4. If:	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	No No No No
If	the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	□No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No

2 –NMMP Plant-crusher RIC diesel engine power, 180 Hp

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi		
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}	ica	
	solely by well suppression systems is not considered to be saturated for purposes by this definition.		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	□No
	grinding finn of storage on in the production fine:	☐ 1C3	
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
	wei suppression systems is not considered to be saturated for purposes of this definition.		
Ιf	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
IJ	the answer to all of the six Questions 3-10 above is No then continue to Question 11.		
11	. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
	. When was the 120 last constructed, mounted, or reconstructed.		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	□No
12	. Was the De constructed, mounted, or reconstructed on or area 4/22/2000.	1 C3	
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
-,	answer to guestion 12 is 140 stup the join ming questions and go an early to guestion 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
-,	The state of the s		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	☐ Yes	□ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	□No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than of equal to 7% opacity:	1 cs	
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
10	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	and the contract of the contra	☐ Yes	□ No
	initial startup of the EU? $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$		∐ No
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}	□ 37	□ x ₇
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	∐No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	∐No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	∐No

2 -NMMP Plant-crusher RIC diesel engine power, 180 Hp

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:			
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
☐ follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,			_
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A		Yes	∐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Ш	Yes	∐No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		* 7	
instructions?	· Ш	Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	3		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Vec	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%	Ш	103	
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
of design serubbing fiquid flow rate.			
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes:		Yes	□No
		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,			□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? 			□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests:		Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	NoNo
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNo

2 -NMMP Plant-crusher RIC diesel engine power, 180 Hp

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an		and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with em				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perform			7/4 🗖 77	
initial startup of the EU?			√A	∐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh				
purpose of exhausting from a building	g air carrying pariicuia	te matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}b. Was the EU found to be in compli	ance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dsem (0.022 gr/dsef)?	Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro				□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions no	in non-vent bunding op	chings less than of equal to 770	opacity I res	140
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control en	nissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maint				
a. a device for the continuous measur		oss of the gas stream through th	ie	
scrubber and the device has bee				
instructions?			Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device r	nust be certified by the i	nanufacturer to be accurate wit	hin +250	
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	essure.}			
and				
b. a device for the continuous measu				_
device has been calibrated on a				□No
{Note: The monitoring device r	-	nanufacturer to be accurate wit	thin +5%	
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}			
24 1171		4 6 4l.*- EU19		
24. When was the last VE test conducted				□ Na
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar		o been tested within the past 3	years? Yes	∐No
i. has the EU been tested during		ndar vaare?		□No
ii. has the EU been tested during	ithin the current calenda	r veer?		□No
n. has the Do been tested yet w	tum the current calenda	i year.	103	140
25. Was a VE test conducted by the ow	ner/operator for this u	nit during this site visit?	Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro-				□No
Rate:				
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ling to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp			Yes	□No
			_	
26. Was a VE test conducted by the ins				□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro-	ocess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		∐No
Rate:			_	
b. Was the VE test conducted accord			Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	pliance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)	Yes	□No
	VE Opac	ity Limits		
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart OOO EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	constructed, modif	
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or reconstructed o	
	Subpart 000	to 4/22/2008	after 4/22/2008	11 01
Crushor with no continuo system	200/			
Crusher with no capture system All other affected EUs	20%	15% 10%	12% 7%	
			. / 0/	

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur		
(at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? \[\] N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?		
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? \[\] N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?		_
b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?		∐No □No
c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- Yes	□No
2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	r	□No
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		
b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		□No
If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		

3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b) 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d) 1.3 million gallons of propane? e) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? () gal diesel/yr + () gal gasoline/yr + () MM SCF nat. gas/yr + () MM gal 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propagation of the past 5 years?	Yes
 Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	YesNo all YesNo access neral
 RELOCATABLE PLANT 1. The facility: ☐ is stationary; ☐ is relocatable; or ☐ consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3</i> 2. For a relocated NMMP plant: 	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
 a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephon e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210. to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation. 3. If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air opermit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permanent a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?	
the permitted facility?	

CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar in the same of th	al relocation of the facility or any emissions units or minor administrative change at the facility? Yes	⊠No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Owners 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without rec; Replacement of existing equipment with equipment d) A change in ownership?	hip:	□No□No□No
FRANK DELGADO	9/14/2011	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	9/2012	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: THE CRUSHER IS BACK TO 14800 NW 112 STREET. IT IS IN STORAGE. THE OWNER MR. FONTE TOLD ME VIA PHONE THAT HE IS GOING TO SELL IT SOON. AS OF TODAY A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST HAS NOT BEEN PERFORMED ON THE CRUSHER. THE CRUSHER IS SELDOM USED.

REVIEWED

By Ray Gordon at 2:20 pm, Sep 30, 2011